

# The Ratio of (Independent) Exponential Random Variables

Steven W. Nydick

May 25, 2012

Say we have two, independent, exponentially distributed variables  $X$  and  $Y$ , both with rate parameter  $\lambda$ . Then we can write the distributions of  $X$  and  $Y$  as

$$f_X(x) = \lambda \exp[-\lambda x] \qquad f_Y(y) = \lambda \exp[-\lambda y].$$

And because  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, the joint distribution is the product of the marginal distributions, or

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = f_X(x) \times f_Y(y) = \lambda \exp[-\lambda x] \times \lambda \exp[-\lambda y] = \lambda^2 \exp[-\lambda(x + y)]. \quad (1)$$

Our goal is to determine the distribution of  $\frac{X}{Y}$ . But because  $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$  is a bivariate probability density function (albeit one that can be factored into the product of its marginals), we must define two functions of  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $U$  and  $V$ , so that the mapping of  $(X, Y) \rightarrow (U, V)$  is one-to-one. Noting that the sum of independent exponentially distributed variables is an easily recognizable distribution, let

$$U = \frac{X}{Y}$$
$$V = X + Y$$

so that the inverse mapping  $U = \frac{X}{Y} \implies Y = \frac{X}{U}$ , and

$$V = X + Y = X + \frac{X}{U} = X \left(1 + \frac{1}{U}\right)$$

which implies that

$$X = \frac{V}{1 + \frac{1}{U}} = \frac{UV}{U + 1} \quad (2)$$

$$Y = \frac{X}{U} = \frac{V}{U + 1}. \quad (3)$$

Because  $(X, Y) \rightarrow (U, V)$  is invertible, we can apply the change-of-variables formula.

Given an invertible map from  $(X, Y) \rightarrow (U, V)$ , the change of variables formula implies

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = |J(x(u, v), y(u, v))| f_{X,Y}(u, v) \quad (4)$$

where  $|J(x(u, v), y(u, v))|$  is the Jacobian of the transformation from  $(U, V)$  back to  $(X, Y)$ . In our case, the Jacobian matrix is just a  $2 \times 2$  matrix of partial derivatives, or

$$|J(x(u, v), y(u, v))| = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x(u, v)}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial x(u, v)}{\partial v} \\ \frac{\partial y(u, v)}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial y(u, v)}{\partial v} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{v}{(u+1)^2} & \frac{u}{u+1} \\ \frac{-v}{(u+1)^2} & \frac{1}{u+1} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{v}{(u+1)^3} + \frac{uv}{(u+1)^3} = \frac{v}{(u+1)^2}. \quad (5)$$

We finally need to use the above equations to solve for  $f_{U,V}(u, v)$ . Plugging Equations (5) (the Jacobian part), (1) (the density part), and (2) and (3) (the change from  $(X, Y) \rightarrow (U, V)$  part) into Equation (4) (the change-of-variables formula), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{U,V}(u, v) &= |J(x(u, v), y(u, v))| f_{X,Y}(u, v) = \frac{v}{(u+1)^2} \lambda^2 \exp \left[ -\lambda \left( \frac{uv}{u+1} + \frac{v}{u+1} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{v}{(u+1)^2} \lambda^2 \exp \left[ -\lambda \left( \frac{v(u+1)}{u+1} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{v}{(u+1)^2} \lambda^2 \exp[-\lambda v] \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{(u+1)^2} \right] \left[ \lambda^2 v \exp[-\lambda v] \right] = f_U(u) \times f_V(v). \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

As shown in Equation (6),  $f_{U,V}(u, v) = f_U(u) \times f_V(v)$ , so that  $U$  and  $V$  are also independent random variables. Moreover, recognize that  $f_V(v) = \lambda^2 v \exp[-\lambda v]$  is the formula for a Gamma distribution with shape parameter  $k = 2$  and rate parameter  $\lambda$  (or an Erlang distribution, which is a special case of the Gamma distribution with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Therefore, no multiplicative constant is needed and

$$f_U(u) = \frac{1}{(u+1)^2} \quad (7)$$

where  $u \in (0, \infty)$  because  $x \in (0, \infty)$  and  $y \in (0, \infty)$ , which is what we wanted to demonstrate. ■

One relationship between  $U$  and another brand-name distribution is through a simple transformation. Let  $Z = \exp[U]$ . Then

$$f_Z(z) = \left| \frac{dz}{du} \right| f_U(z) = \exp[z] \frac{1}{(\exp[z] + 1)^2} = \frac{\exp[z]}{(1 + \exp[z])^2}$$

which is the logistic distribution with location parameter  $\mu = 0$  and scale parameter  $s = 1$ . One could think of the distribution of  $U$  as a log-logistic distribution with  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the scale and shape parameters respectively. As can easily be seen from the pdf of the random variable  $U$  (Equation 7), the expected value of  $U$  is undefined.